

xcuse us for waving our own flag, but we are exceedingly proud of our fifth-year selections for the ABA Journal's Legal Rebels.

Our annual honors program for the change leaders of the legal profession has again found, thanks to your nominations, 10 outstanding new members. They join the 81 free thinkers selected in the previous four years of Legal Rebels. And though this year's program was open to anyone in the legal services business, an interesting pattern arose as the final selections were made: Seven of our 10 choices are directly connected to legal education. Well, with the disruptive innovations of technology remolding the practice of law, it's only normal that those charged with training the next generations of legal service providers are reshaping the way those generations are taught and what they learn.

On our list is a law student making law visual. A professor bringing mock competition to transactional

practice. Another linking students and mentors from around the world to solve legal problems. A pioneer in law firm incubators now creating an incubator for incubators. A provider of free learning tools for law students teaching students to create computer-based guides for pro se litigants. And two profs whose goal is no less than reinventing the law. Add in an attorney whose trademark search engine bests the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office system while his prices stay low, a general counsel taking outside law firms to school for not knowing their own software, and a lawyer/software engineer invoking the name of Hammurabi as he turns law into computer code. Individually and all together, they are planting their banners on the pinnacle of legal innovation. And they are our Legal Rebels, the class of 2013.

or a deeper look at our 2013 class of Legal Rebels, click on "Rebels" on the ABAJournal.com main page when this issue goes live Aug. 26. You'll find full profiles and video interviews of this year's honorees and an archive of our 81 previous Rebels.

And while you're there:

- Enter our cartoon captionwriting contest, putting your sense of humor to the test against three law-oriented cartoons.
- Add to our collection of Box Breakers-simple phrases that can prompt outside-the-box thinking about legal practice.
- Enjoy the New Normal, our ongoing discussion about the role of innovation in the fastchanging landscape of the law.

PHOTOGRAPHS BY WAYNE SLEZAK

34 | ABA JOURNAL SEPTEMBER 2013



particular sets of skills, ... who know the law,

MSU Law dean Joan W. Howarth recruited

understand software and technology, and

[know] how to mesh the two."



jobs that haven't been invented yet," Knake says. "We in the legal profession would be

very foolish to think that doesn't apply to us

in the here and now."

PHOTOGRAPH BY FONY AVELAR

MARGARET HAGAN, 33 STANFORD, CALIF.

esign thinking has finally reached the text-heavy, no-nonsense bastion of law, and recent Stanford Law grad Margaret Hagan is drawing a lot of attention though her method of law and design.

"By the time I was in law school, I was sure from Day One that I was going to try to draw my way through it," says Hagan, who recently began a one-year fellowship teaching and working in Stanford's Hasso Plattner Institute of Design, known as

Visualizing complex facts and ideas began as a soothing approach for Hagan in 2008, when she felt burnt out keyboarding her doctoral thesis. Previously, as an undergrad at the University of Chicago, she'd sketched for fun in class while also taking notes, and she did the same while getting her master's at Central European University in Budapest, Hungary.

Hagan came to law with international human rights work as her goal. But for now she's caught up in creating visual designs to make the law more easily understandable, accessible and rehumanized. At the D.school, Hagan expects to work closely on projects with Ron Dolin, a lawyer and former engineering program manager.

"We'll try to reconceive the problems," Hagan says, "putting his engineering brain and my design brain together."

Tofutu

ou're likely to know the kind of thing Michael Poulshock does in his day job. His handiwork includes a webpage for the Internal Revenue Service, where users can ask general questions or drill down to find out when they can deduct moving expenses or whether their pension income is taxable.

But on his own time, Poulshock toils at a project that goes far beyond the scope of these business-rule engines. He wants to make large bodies of law and regulation accessible and understandable for all manner of configuration and use by lawyers and nonlawyers.

In something he calls the Hammurabi Project, Poulshock is writing source code for each law, which can then be entered into

PHOTOGRAPH BY ARNOLD ADLER

PHOTOGRAPH BY TONY AVELAR

computers and applied to fact patterns. In many instances, he says, law can be turned into a mathematical function: If this, then that; if these, then that or those.

> While others work on new ways to use the vast amounts of data available, Poulshock simply wants to capture its logic in a way others can use to put it to work.

"We live in the information age, and yet people have no idea what their rights are or even the basic things of law such as the elements of a tort," Poulshock says. "I wanted to solve this gap problem."

MICHAEL POULSHOCK, 38

PETITIVE

KARL OKAMOTO, 51 PHILADELPHIA

rexel University professor Karl Okamoto put the transactionaltraining movement on steroids four years ago when he set out to answer a question: Why was there was no equivalent of moot court for those interested in transactional law?

"Designing something that is both realistic and engaging with all the various parts, yet doable, proved harder than it first appeared,"

In a competition he dubbed LawMeets, students get fact patterns for a deal and play the roles of buyer, seller and client. Over a period of months, they have conferences; draft, exchange and mark up documents; and then negotiate the deal. Prominent transactional lawyers judge their documents and negotiations. Then the students get to watch the pros haggle over the same terms.

In 2010, teams from 10 law schools competed at Drexel University. By 2011, the number had grown to 30 teams and Okamoto launched a new venture, with 10 teams competing in an intellectual property version of Law Meets. Come 2012, growing popularity spawned five regional competitions and a total of 54 teams, with the winners going to Philadelphia for a national championship. And this year there were six regional competitions and 74 teams, with finalists going to Drexel.



D. CASEY FLAHERTY, 33

or D. Casey Flaherty it's a simple request: If outside law firms are going to bill fairly for their work, they should prove how efficiently they can operate.

So Flaherty, Kia Motors America's corporate counsel, gives them a little test. Nothing outrageous—just ways of using software tools that often come free or cheap with desktop computers. Things like Microsoft Word, Excel and PDF tools such as Adobe Acrobat.

Flaherty has audited the skills among nonpartners at some firms doing work for Kia and others auditioning for it. Of 10 he's done, all firms performed miserably except one. "For too many people it doesn't go much beyond treating a computer like a typewriter," he says. "These tools can do so much more."

Flaherty admits that comparing the gain from earning one corporation's legal business to the high cost of extensive computer training at a law firm with hundreds of lawyers makes his test little more than academic. "Right now there isn't any market pressure there. If suddenly a lot of clients are putting pressure on them, they'll do what needs to be done.'

Where might that market pressure come from? Well, he's collaborating with Suffolk University Law School's Institute on Law Practice Technology and Innovation to automate the audit so he can give it away to law students and general counsel at other companies.





